



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	12th January 2010 2010 P\pcha 12 22nd Pousha 1931 1931 പൗഷം 22	No. നമ്പർ	2
----------------------	---	---	--------------	---

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1714/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 5th November 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Bharath Hospital, Kottayam and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Revamma, Illampalliyil, Chengalam P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Iddukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Revamma, Illampalliyil, Chengalam P. O., Kottayam, Sweeper in the Bharath Hospital, Kottayam by the management is justifiable ?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

(2)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1865/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th November 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri C. R. Manoharan (Owner, Poornasree Travels), Karayathara Veedu, Poonithura P. O., Thripunithura and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. Rajan s/o Parameswaran Unni, Reethu Nivas, Kanayannoor P. O., Chottanikkara-682 312 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Shri P. Rajan, Conductor, Poornasree Travels. Thripunithura by the owner of Poornasree Travels, Thripunithura is justifiable?
2. If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(3)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1867/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th November 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Letchumi Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Workers Congress, Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Smt. Chandraparvathy, P. F. No. 5895 of Sevenmalai Division of Letchumi Estate by the management is justifiable or not?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

(4)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1868/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th November 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Kerala State Rubber Co-operative Limited, KVR Towers, South Bazaar, Kannur, 2. The General Manager, RUBCO, R C M Division, Poothakuzhi P. O., Pampady, Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Jovan Kumar, V. K., Mathumala House, Pampady P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Jovan Kumar, V. K., Semi Skilled worker from the service by the Management of Kerala State Rubber Co-operative Limited is justifiable?
2. If not what relief the workman is entitled to?

(5)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1869/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th November 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The President, Thalnad Co-operative Bank Limited No. K-345, Thalnad P. O., Kottayam, 2. The Secretary, Thalnad Co-operative Bank Limited No. K-345, Thalnad P. O., Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. S. Sanil, Kulathaniyil, Thalnad P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri K. S. Sanil, Cashier, Thalnad Co-operative Bank Limited No. K-345, Thalnad P. O., Kottayam is justifiable?
2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1870/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th November 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Nullathanni Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Smt. Arumaiyammal, P. F. No. 2462 of Kurumalai Division of Nullathanni Estate by the management is justifiable or not ?
2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1871/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th November 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Pallivasal Packeting Centre, Pallivasal P. O., Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Karuppasamy, P. F. No. 4283 by the Management of Pallivasal Packeting Centre is justifiable or not ?
2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1872/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th November 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, Express Publications (Madhurai) Limited, Express Gardens, 29, 2nd Main Road, Ambattur Industrial Estate, Chennai-600 058 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Rafeeq Ahammed, s/o Shaik Server, House No. 8, 27-66, Govinda Swamy Street, Wynchpet, Vijayawada, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh-520 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Rafeeq Ahammed, Assistant Printer, Express Publication by the management is justifiable ? If not, what are the benefits the workman is entitled to ?

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1873/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th November 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Letchumi Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Employees Union (CITU), Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Muniasamy, P. F. No. 2264 by the management of Letchumi Estate is justifiable or not ?
2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1866/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th November 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Gudarvila Estate, Munnar and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Workers Congress (INTUC), Munnar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of work to Shri M. Murugan Electrician Gudarvila Estate, Munnar by the management is justifiable or not ?
2. If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(11)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1880/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th November 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Steel Industries Kerala Limited, Silk Nagar, Athani P. O., Mulamkunnathukavu, Thrissur-680 771 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri C. P. Divakaran, Kandankulangaraveli, S. N. Puram P. O., Cherthala, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the punishment of debarring two increments with cumulative effect of Shri C. P. Divakaran, Unskilled Worker by the management of Steel Industries Kerala Limited, Silk Nagar, Athani P. O., Mulamkunnathukavu, Thrissur is justifiable or not ? If now, what relief he is entitled to ?
2. Whether Shri C. P. Divakaran is eligible for full wages during the suspension period from 14-6-2001 to 4-9-2002 ?

By order of the Governor,

G. SIVAPRASAD,

Under Secretary to Government.